



Complete Summary

TITLE

Pancreatic cancer: pancreatic resection mortality rate.

SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to inpatient quality indicators: quality of care in hospitals -- volume, mortality, and utilization [revision 2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2003 Sep 4. Various p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0204).

Brief Abstract

DESCRIPTION

This measure assesses the number of deaths per 100 discharged patients with pancreatic cancer who had a pancreatic resection.

RATIONALE

Pancreatic resection is a rare procedure that requires technical proficiency, and errors in surgical technique or management may lead to clinically significant complications, such as sepsis, anastomotic breakdown, and death. Better processes of care may reduce mortality for pancreatic resection, which represents better quality care.

Pancreatic resection is a complex cancer surgery, and studies have noted that providers with higher volumes have lower mortality rates for the procedure than providers with lower volumes. This suggests that providers with higher volumes have some characteristics, either structurally or with regard to processes, that influence mortality.

PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Pancreatic cancer; pancreatic resection; mortality

DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION

Discharges with an International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) pancreatic resection code in any procedure field and a diagnosis code of pancreatic cancer in any field. Patients transferring to another short-term hospital, Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium), and MDC 15 (newborns and other neonates) are excluded.

NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION

Number of deaths with a code of pancreatic resection in any procedure field

Evidence Supporting the Measure

PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Outcome

SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Not applicable

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE MEASURE

One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

NEED FOR THE MEASURE

Wide variation in quality for the performance measured

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING NEED FOR THE MEASURE

Begg CB, Cramer LD, Hoskins WJ, Brennan MF. Impact of hospital volume on operative mortality for major cancer surgery. JAMA 1998 Nov 25;280(20):1747-51.

Glasgow RE, Mulvihill SJ. Hospital volume influences outcome in patients undergoing pancreatic resection for cancer. West J Med 1996 Nov;165(5):294-300.

Lieberman MD, Kilburn H, Lindsey M, Brennan MF. Relation of perioperative deaths to hospital volume among patients undergoing pancreatic resection for malignancy. Ann Surg 1995 Nov;222(5):638-45.

State of Use of the Measure

STATE OF USE

Current routine use

CURRENT USE

Internal quality improvement
Quality of care research

Application of Measure in its Current Use

CARE SETTING

Hospitals

PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

Physicians

LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED

Single Health Care Delivery Organizations

TARGET POPULATION AGE

All age groups, excluding newborns and other neonates

TARGET POPULATION GENDER

Either male or female

STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Unspecified

ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

BURDEN OF ILLNESS

Unspecified

UTILIZATION

Unspecified

COSTS

Unspecified

Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness

Data Collection for the Measure

CASE FINDING

Users of care only

DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING

All patients with pancreatic cancer discharged from the hospital who had a pancreatic resection

DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME

Patients associated with provider

DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT

Clinical Condition
Institutionalization
Therapeutic Intervention

DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions

Discharges with an International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) pancreatic resection code in any procedure field and a diagnosis code of pancreatic cancer in any field (see Appendix A of the original measure documentation for ICD-9-CM codes)

Exclusions

Patients transferring to another short-term hospital, Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium), and MDC 15 (newborns and other neonates) are excluded.

NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions

Number of deaths with a code of pancreatic resection in any procedure field (see

Appendix A of the original measure documentation for International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification [ICD-9-CM] codes)

Exclusions
Unspecified

DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW

Time window is a single point in time

NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW

Institutionalization

DATA SOURCE

Administrative data

LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY

Not Individual Case

OUTCOME TYPE

Clinical Outcome

PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED

Unspecified

Computation of the Measure

SCORING

Rate

INTERPRETATION OF SCORE

Better quality is associated with a lower score

ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Analysis by subgroup (stratification on patient factors)
Case-mix adjustment
Risk adjustment method widely or commercially available

DESCRIPTION OF ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Observed (raw) rates may be stratified by hospitals, age groups, race/ethnicity categories, sex, and payer categories.

Risk adjustment of the data is recommended using, at minimum, age, sex, and all-patient refined diagnosis-related groups (APR-DRG).

Application of multivariate signal extraction (MSX) to smooth risk adjusted rates is also recommended.

STANDARD OF COMPARISON

External comparison at a point in time
External comparison of time trends
Internal time comparison

Evaluation of Measure Properties

EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING

Each potential quality indicator was evaluated against the following six criteria, which were considered essential for determining the reliability and validity of a quality indicator: face validity, precision, minimum bias, construct validity, fosters real quality improvement, and application. The project team searched Medline for articles relating to each of these six areas of evaluation. Additionally, extensive empirical testing of all potential indicators was conducted using the 1995-97 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID) and Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) to determine precision, bias, and construct validity. Table 1 in the original measure documentation summarizes the results of the literature review and empirical evaluations on the Inpatient Quality Indicators. Refer to the original measure documentation for details.

EVIDENCE FOR RELIABILITY/VALIDITY TESTING

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to inpatient quality indicators: quality of care in hospitals -- volume, mortality, and utilization [revision 2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2003 Sep 4. Various p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0204).

Identifying Information

ORIGINAL TITLE

Pancreatic resection mortality rate.

MEASURE COLLECTION

[Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Quality Indicators](#)

MEASURE SET NAME

[Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Inpatient Quality Indicators](#)

DEVELOPER

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

ADAPTATION

Measure was not adapted from another source.

RELEASE DATE

2002 Jun

REVISION DATE

2003 Sep

MEASURE STATUS

Please note: This measure has been updated. The National Quality Measures Clearinghouse is working to update this summary.

SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to inpatient quality indicators: quality of care in hospitals -- volume, mortality, and utilization [revision 2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2003 Sep 4. Various p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0204).

MEASURE AVAILABILITY

The individual measure, "Pancreatic Resection Mortality Rate," is published in "AHRQ Quality Indicators. Guide to Inpatient Quality Indicators: Quality of Care in Hospitals -- Volume, Mortality, and Utilization." This document is available in [Portable Document Format \(PDF\)](#) and a [zipped WordPerfect\(R\) file](#) from the [Quality Indicators](#) page at the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Web site.

COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following are available:

- "AHRQ Inpatient Quality Indicators Software (Version 2.1 Revision 2)" (Rockville, [MD]: AHRQ, 2003 Sept 4) and its accompanying documentation can be downloaded from the [Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Web site](#). (The software is available in SPSS- and SAS-compatible formats.)
- "AHRQ Inpatient Quality Indicators - Interpretative Guide" (Irving [TX]: Dallas-Fort Worth Hospital Council Data Initiative; 2002 Aug 1. 9 p.) is

available. This guide helps you to understand and interpret the results derived from the application of the Inpatient Quality Indicators software to your own data and is available from the [AHRO Web site](#).

- "Refinement of the HCUP Quality Indicators" (Rockville [MD]: AHRQ, 2001 May. Various pagings. [Technical review; no. 4]; AHRQ Publication No. 01-0035) is available. This document was prepared by the UCSF-Stanford Evidence-based Practice Center for AHRQ and can be downloaded from the [AHRO Web site](#).

NQMC STATUS

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI on December 4, 2002. The information was verified by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality on December 26, 2002. This NQMC summary was updated by ECRI on April 7, 2004.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

No copyright restrictions apply.

© 2004 National Quality Measures Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 8/9/2004

FIRSTGOV

